Challenges of Decarbonization Polices and Technological Innovations toward Carbon Neutral Societies in East Asia

Panel Discussion

Comments and Discussions on Dr. Masui`s Presentation in Japan

Soocheol Lee

Meijo University

<u>slee@meijo-u.ac.jp</u>

Comments on the Presentation about Carbon Neutrality in Japan



On the Macro Framework(slide 13)

GDP growth rate 1.7%/year (2020-2030)
 ⇒ This is estimated by Cabinet Office
 ∠ I think this might be overestimated. For example, IEEJ
 OUTLOOK2021 estimated 0.7% GDP increase(reference case) be tween 2018~2030.

●GDP growth rate 0.5%/year (2031-2050)
 ⇒This is estimated by SSP2
 ∠>In my opinion, this might be underestimated thinking the spread of the decarbonization technological innovations and their stimulation to the economy positively during that period.

On the Electricity Supply(slide 20)

Nuclear shares are around 10% both Technology scenario and Tech+Social transformation scenario by 2050 in AIM model PNuclear power generation tends to be determined politically not by market mechanism. I wonder how nuclear power generation determined in AIM model. Endogenously or Exogenously?

I suggest AIM model simulate scenario with no nuclear power case also considering the possibility of no nuclear society in the future, although very small possibility in the current political situation in East Asia.

On the Electricity Production Cost (slide 21)

The average power generation cost in 2050 will be almost the same as the current level by the simulation of AIM model ⇒11.5 yen/kWh(2018), 11.8 - 12.2 yen/kWh(in 2050)
 I think, there might be two factors to influence power generation cost.
 Factors of pushing cost up : adding CCS or CCUS to current thermal power generation, new fuel cost of hydrogen and Ammonia and nuclear safety regulation cost

∠ Factors of pushing cost down : high speed of technological innovation of renewable and new fuel power sources by the spread of those technologies

∠ Estimation of pathway to pushing cost up or down of electricity properly will be very Important to simulate carbon neutral economy.

On the Main implication of detailed analysis(slide 26)

- Decarbonization may have a positive impact on reducing the outflow of national wealth. Annual required investment will be estimated to be about 10 11 trillion yen.
- ⇒This investment will significantly reduce fossil fuel demand. As a result, it is estimated that it will lead to a reduction in net e nergy imports of about 12 trillion yen in 2050.
- **G** Strongly support this point of view!
- I think this is one of the biggest winning attribute of carbon neutral society.

Discussions -How to model the carbon neutral society?-

The First Issue

Designing decarbonization policy to stimulate de-carbon technological innovation effectively is crucial to achieve carbon neutrality. Carbon pricing(as carbon tax) including subsidies and regulations is essential policy instrument for the carbon neutrality

CFKeeping tax revenue neutrality, carbon tax revenue could be used to pay for decarbonization investment, renewable subsidies, a nd the phase-out of thermal power.

Pathway of Carbon Dioxide Emissions toward Carbon Neutral in 2050



Decarbonization policy package toward carbon neutrality(set by Lee, S. et al. (2021))

2020	2030	2040	2050
Carbon Tax	Step up increase from 50US\$/CO2t to 400US	5\$ to 2040 400US\$/CO	2t from 2040 to 2050
Power generation sector	Phasing out nuclear power plant to 2040 Nuclear power generation share from 5% in 2020 to 13% in 2050		
	Phasing out coal power plant to 2040		
	Keep FIT to wind and biomass power by 2035		
	Biomass power subsidy 👉	60% of facility investment	cost
Transport sector	Regulation to sales of gasoline and diesel car from2035EV subsidies to 2025		
	Biomass fuel mandate to truck and a	irplane from 5% in 2020 to	o 100% in 2050
Industry sector	Zero emission of CO2 by 2050 in the blast furnace of steel industry		
	Decarbonization investment subsidies to the industry sector		
Building sector	Phasing out fossil fuel boiler by 2050 in the building sector		

Impact on GDP from carbon neutrality in 2050

(Growth rate versus baseline (%))



Source: E3ME model estimates from Lee,S. et al.(2021)

Key Findings in GDP



The Second Issue

In simulating the impact of achieving carbon neutrality by 20 50 on the economy and the energy mix, it is extremely important that the model properly reflects the speed of innovation in a variety of decarbonization technologies(speed of cost down and spread of the technologies).

∠→ However, most of the current E3(Energy-Economy-Environment) models tend to rely on conventional learning curves.

⇒This might underestimate desirable impact on the economy of carbon neutrality

Adjusting the learning curves of these technologies to reflect future speed of technological innovation accurately is a topic for future challenges in most of the E3 models.



The Third Issue

The third issue is most of the E3 models use the nuclear power generation cost data before the Fukushi ma accident. This means that the existing E3 models do not reflect initial construction costs and operation costs under strengthened safety.

→ Reflecting stricter safety regulation cost of nucle ar power generations properly in the model is very important to simulate future energy mix.



The Forth Issue

As already told at [the purpose of this conference], policy cooperation and strategy in East Asia would maximize the benefits and minimize the costs associating with carbon neutral climate policies. Successful policy design, supporting of new technologies, and cooperation in East Asia will not only lead to climate neutrality but will also streng then future industries and businesses in the regions.

▲ For the first step of the decarbonization policy cooperation in East Asia, I suggest to build common carbon market in this region.
▲ In the first stage, indirect link(soft link) with China ETS, Korean ETS and Japanese regional ETS, like link with EU-ETS and those of Swiss, Norway and Australia. In the second stage, direct link(hard link) like EU-ETS itself.

C→ East Asia Super Grid ⇒ using cheaper renewable power in NEarea
C→ Benchmarking EU` 「Effort Sharing Regulation」

Thank you very much!

Soocheol Lee

Meijo University

slee@meijo-u.ac.jp